

A REVIEW OF ASSOCIATION RULE MINING TECHNIQUES TOWARD EFFICIENT FREQUENT PATTERN DISCOVERY

Sanjay Gupta*¹, Rameshwar Singh Sikarwar*²

*1Student, Dr. A.P.J. Abdul Kalam University, Indore, M.P., India

*2Assistant Professor, Dr. A.P.J. Abdul Kalam University, Indore, M.P., India

Received: 20/12/2023

Revised: 04/01/2024

Accepted: 23/01/2024

ABSTRACT

The rapid growth of digital technologies and transactional systems has generated massive volumes of structured and unstructured data, creating a strong demand for efficient data mining techniques capable of extracting meaningful knowledge from large datasets. Association Rule Mining (ARM) is one of the most widely used data mining approaches for discovering hidden relationships, frequent patterns, and correlations among items in transactional databases. Traditional ARM algorithms such as Apriori, FP-Growth, and ECLAT have been extensively used for frequent itemset generation and rule discovery. However, these techniques still suffer from several limitations, including repeated database scans, excessive candidate generation, memory overhead, scalability challenges, and increased computational complexity when handling large-scale and sparse datasets.

This paper presents a comprehensive review of association rule mining techniques and critically examines the working principles, advantages, and limitations of major ARM algorithms. A comparative analysis of widely used approaches, including Apriori, FP-Growth, ECLAT, weighted association rule mining, and hybrid mining strategies, is discussed based on performance parameters such as execution time, database scanning requirements, memory consumption, and scalability. Furthermore, the paper highlights major research challenges associated with large transactional environments and discusses emerging research trends toward efficient and adaptive mining frameworks. The findings indicate that future developments in association rule mining may focus on improving mining efficiency through optimized and scalable hybrid strategies capable of handling complex real-world datasets.

KEYWORDS: Association Rule Mining, Data Mining, Apriori Algorithm, FP-Growth, Frequent Pattern Mining, Hybrid Mining, Transactional Database.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64149/gjaets.11.1.1-10>

1. INTRODUCTION

The exponential growth of digital technologies, cloud computing platforms, social media systems, e-commerce applications, healthcare infrastructures, and Internet of Things (IoT) devices has resulted in the generation of enormous amounts of data every day. Organizations continuously collect vast quantities of structured and unstructured information from business operations, online transactions, customer interactions, and intelligent sensing systems. Extracting useful knowledge from these large datasets has become increasingly challenging using traditional analytical methods, thereby creating the need for advanced computational approaches capable of identifying meaningful relationships and hidden patterns efficiently [1].

Data mining has emerged as an important interdisciplinary field that enables the extraction of valuable information from large and complex datasets. It combines concepts from statistics, artificial intelligence, machine learning, database management systems, and pattern recognition to transform raw data into meaningful knowledge that supports decision-making processes [2]. Data mining techniques are widely applied across multiple domains such as healthcare, banking, fraud detection, recommendation systems, cybersecurity, education, and business intelligence.

Among various data mining approaches, Association Rule Mining (ARM) has gained considerable importance because of its ability to identify hidden relationships among items in large transactional datasets [3]. The main objective of ARM is to discover associations, correlations, and co-occurrence relationships between items that frequently appear together within a database. Such patterns help organizations understand behavioral trends, customer purchasing habits, and data dependencies that may otherwise remain undiscovered.



The concept of association rule mining was initially introduced for market basket analysis, where businesses analyze purchasing behavior to identify products frequently purchased together [7]. For instance, if customers often purchase bread and butter simultaneously, a relationship may be established between these products. Such insights help organizations improve recommendation systems, customer targeting, inventory planning, sales forecasting, and product placement strategies [5].

Association rule mining mainly consists of two important phases: frequent itemset generation and association rule generation. During the first stage, frequent itemsets are identified using predefined support thresholds to determine frequently occurring patterns. In the second stage, association rules are extracted from these frequent itemsets using confidence measures to evaluate the reliability and strength of generated rules [6].

Over the years, several algorithms have been developed to improve the efficiency of association rule mining. Among them, the Apriori algorithm proposed by Agrawal and Srikant became one of the earliest and most influential techniques for frequent itemset mining [7]. Apriori applies an iterative candidate generation process based on the anti-monotonic property, which states that all subsets of a frequent itemset must also be frequent. Although effective, Apriori suffers from repeated database scanning and excessive candidate generation, resulting in increased computational cost for large transactional datasets [7].

To overcome these limitations, FP-Growth (Frequent Pattern Growth) was introduced as an alternative technique for frequent pattern mining [8]. FP-Growth eliminates candidate generation by using a compact tree structure known as the Frequent Pattern Tree (FP-tree). This structure compresses transactional information and improves mining performance by reducing database scans. Despite these improvements, FP-Growth may still experience memory overhead when processing dense or high-dimensional datasets [8].

Another important ARM approach is the ECLAT (Equivalence Class Clustering and Bottom-Up Lattice Traversal) algorithm, which utilizes a vertical database representation to improve support calculation and mining efficiency [9]. ECLAT performs well for dense transactional environments; however, scalability issues may arise for extremely large datasets.

Although substantial advancements have been achieved in association rule mining, existing techniques still face several limitations related to execution time, scalability, redundant rule generation, computational overhead, and memory utilization [10]. These challenges become increasingly significant in modern big-data environments where datasets are continuously expanding in size and complexity. Consequently, researchers have shown growing interest in adaptive and efficient mining approaches that can improve mining performance while reducing computational limitations [11].

This paper presents a detailed review of major association rule mining techniques and provides a comparative analysis of traditional and modern ARM approaches. Furthermore, the study discusses major research challenges, identifies limitations of existing techniques, and highlights emerging research directions toward more efficient frequent pattern discovery systems.

2. BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW

Association Rule Mining (ARM) is one of the most important techniques in data mining used for identifying hidden relationships, correlations, and recurring patterns among items present in large transactional databases. The primary goal of ARM is to discover meaningful associations between items that frequently occur together in a dataset. Due to its capability of extracting useful knowledge from transactional data, ARM has found applications in market basket analysis, healthcare analytics, fraud detection, web mining, recommendation systems, and business intelligence [3].

The concept of association rule mining was initially introduced by Agrawal, Imielinski, and Swami for analyzing customer purchasing behavior in retail environments [7]. Their work focused on discovering relationships among products frequently purchased together. For example, if customers buying milk also tend to purchase bread, an association rule can be generated to represent this purchasing behavior. Such information can support better decision-making, customer segmentation, and business strategy development [7].

Association rule mining generally consists of two fundamental stages:

- Frequent Itemset Generation
- Association Rule Generation

During the first stage, itemsets that frequently appear in the transactional database are identified using predefined thresholds such as minimum support. In the second stage, association rules are



generated from frequent itemsets using confidence and other statistical measures to evaluate rule reliability and usefulness [5].

A. Basic Concepts of Association Rule Mining

An association rule is generally represented as:

$$A \rightarrow B$$

where A represents the antecedent and B represents the consequent. The rule indicates that if itemset A appears in a transaction, itemset B is likely to occur as well [6]

Several important terminologies are commonly used in ARM:

1) Item and Itemset:

An item refers to a single object or attribute present within a transaction database, while a collection of one or more items is known as an itemset. For example, {bread, milk, butter} represents an itemset within a retail transaction [7].

2) Transaction Database:

A transaction database contains multiple transactions where each transaction represents a set of items purchased or associated together. Every transaction is uniquely identified and forms the basis for frequent pattern discovery [8].

3) Frequent Itemset:

A frequent itemset refers to a set of items whose occurrence frequency exceeds a predefined minimum support threshold. Frequent itemsets serve as the foundation for association rule generation [9].

B. Measures Used in Association Rule Mining

1) Support

Support measures how frequently an itemset appears in the database.

$$\text{Support}(A \rightarrow B) = \text{count}(A \cup B) / \text{Total Transactions}$$

A higher support value indicates that the itemset appears more frequently in the database and may represent a meaningful relationship [10].

2) Confidence

Confidence measures the reliability of an association rule.

$$\text{Confidence}(A \rightarrow B) = \text{Support}(A \cup B) / \text{Support}(A)$$

Higher confidence values generally indicate stronger and more reliable associations among transactional items [11].

3) Lift

Lift evaluates the dependency between itemsets.

$$\text{Lift}(A \rightarrow B) = \text{Confidence}(A \cup B) / \text{Support}(B)$$

A lift value greater than one indicates a positive correlation between itemsets, whereas a value below one suggests weak dependency [12].

C. Popular Association Rule Mining Algorithms

Several algorithms have been proposed to improve the efficiency and scalability of association rule mining. Some of the most commonly used techniques are discussed below.

1) Apriori Algorithm

The Apriori algorithm, introduced by Agrawal and Srikant, is one of the earliest techniques used for mining frequent itemsets [7]. It uses a level-wise search strategy and applies the anti-monotonic property to eliminate infrequent itemsets. Apriori is simple and easy to implement; however, repeated database scans and excessive candidate generation increase computational complexity for large datasets.

2) FP-Growth Algorithm

The FP-Growth algorithm was proposed to overcome the limitations of Apriori [8]. Instead of generating candidate itemsets, FP-Growth uses an FP-tree structure to compress transactional data and mine frequent patterns efficiently. This significantly reduces execution time and database scanning requirements. However, memory consumption may become a challenge for dense transactional environments.



3) ECLAT Algorithm

The ECLAT algorithm uses a vertical database representation where transaction identifiers are stored for each itemset [9]. Support calculation is performed using intersection operations, improving mining speed and reducing scanning overhead. Although effective for dense datasets, ECLAT may experience scalability limitations for very large databases.

4) Weighted Association Rule Mining

Weighted association rule mining techniques assign importance values to items based on relevance, profit, priority, or domain significance [13]. These approaches improve rule quality by identifying important but infrequent relationships. However, additional weighting mechanisms may increase computational complexity.

5) Hybrid Association Rule Mining

Hybrid association rule mining combines the strengths of multiple algorithms to improve mining efficiency and reduce limitations [14]. Such approaches often integrate Apriori-based pruning with FP-tree mining techniques to improve scalability, reduce execution time, and minimize memory overhead.

D. Literature Review

Significant research efforts have been made to improve the performance and scalability of association rule mining techniques.

Agrawal et al. introduced the fundamental framework of association rule mining and demonstrated its application in market basket analysis [7]. Later, Agrawal and Srikant developed the Apriori algorithm to improve frequent itemset generation using candidate pruning strategies [7].

Han et al. proposed the FP-Growth algorithm, which removed candidate generation and improved mining efficiency using FP-tree structures [8]. Zaki introduced scalable mining approaches based on vertical transaction layouts to enhance support calculation efficiency [9].

Pasquier et al. proposed closed frequent itemset mining techniques to reduce redundant rule generation and improve memory efficiency [15]. Weighted ARM approaches were later introduced to improve rule relevance by assigning importance values to items [13].

Recent research has increasingly focused on hybrid association rule mining approaches for improving scalability and mining performance in large transactional datasets [14], [16]. Several studies have explored integrating pruning techniques with efficient data structures to reduce computational complexity and memory consumption while maintaining mining accuracy.

Despite these developments, many existing ARM techniques still face limitations related to execution time, repeated scanning, scalability, and redundant rule generation in complex and sparse datasets [16]. Therefore, further research toward efficient and adaptive mining strategies continues to remain an important research direction.

3. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ASSOCIATION RULE MINING TECHNIQUES

Association Rule Mining (ARM) techniques have evolved significantly to improve mining efficiency, reduce computational complexity, and enhance scalability for large transactional databases. Several algorithms have been proposed over the years, each possessing unique advantages and limitations depending on database characteristics, computational requirements, and mining objectives. This section presents a comparative analysis of major association rule mining approaches, including Apriori, FP-Growth, ECLAT, weighted association rule mining, and hybrid mining techniques.

A. Apriori Algorithm

The Apriori algorithm is one of the earliest and most fundamental techniques used in association rule mining. Introduced by Agrawal and Srikant, Apriori employs a candidate generation-and-test strategy to identify frequent itemsets [7]. The algorithm works on the principle that all subsets of a frequent itemset must also be frequent, thereby reducing unnecessary search space.

Apriori operates iteratively by generating candidate itemsets of increasing length and repeatedly scanning the database to calculate support values. Although the algorithm is simple and easy to implement, it becomes computationally expensive for large transactional datasets due to repeated database scanning and excessive candidate generation [7]. One major limitation of Apriori is the rapid growth of candidate itemsets as database size increases. This issue significantly increases execution time and memory consumption, making the algorithm less suitable for high-dimensional and sparse datasets.



Advantages of Apriori

- Simple and easy to implement
- Effective for small and medium-sized datasets
- Uses efficient pruning through anti-monotonic properties

Limitations of Apriori

- Multiple database scans required
- Excessive candidate itemset generation
- High computational cost for large datasets

B. FP-Growth Algorithm

The **Frequent Pattern Growth (FP-Growth)** algorithm was introduced to address the limitations associated with Apriori [8]. Unlike Apriori, FP-Growth eliminates candidate itemset generation and instead utilizes a compact tree-based representation called the **Frequent Pattern Tree (FP-tree)**.

The FP-tree compresses transactional information efficiently, allowing frequent patterns to be discovered using recursive pattern growth methods. Because candidate generation is eliminated, FP-Growth significantly reduces database scanning requirements and improves mining performance for large transactional environments [8].

Despite these advantages, FP-Growth may face memory overhead issues when dealing with dense and highly dimensional datasets. The construction and traversal of FP-trees may become increasingly complex as transaction volume grows [17].

Advantages of FP-Growth

- Eliminates candidate generation
- Requires fewer database scans
- Faster execution compared to Apriori
- Efficient for large transactional datasets

Limitations of FP-Growth

- Complex FP-tree construction
- Increased memory consumption for dense datasets
- Higher implementation complexity

C. ECLAT Algorithm

The **Equivalence Class Clustering and Bottom-Up Lattice Traversal (ECLAT)** algorithm is another important ARM approach developed to improve mining performance [9]. ECLAT uses a **vertical database representation**, where transaction identifiers (TIDs) are stored for each itemset.

Instead of repeated database scanning, ECLAT calculates support values using intersection operations among transaction identifiers. This approach reduces scanning overhead and improves mining speed for dense datasets [9].

Although ECLAT performs efficiently for certain transactional environments, memory requirements may increase significantly when handling extremely large databases due to vertical data storage requirements.

Advantages of ECLAT

- Faster support calculation
- Reduced database scanning
- Efficient for dense datasets

Limitations of ECLAT

- Increased memory requirements
- Scalability issues for large databases
- Complex implementation for large-scale environments

D. Weighted Association Rule Mining

Traditional association rule mining techniques mainly rely on frequency-based measures and often ignore the importance of individual items. To address this limitation, **Weighted Association Rule Mining (WARM)** approaches were introduced [13].



In weighted ARM, weights are assigned to items based on relevance, priority, significance, or business importance. This approach enables the discovery of meaningful relationships among items that may appear infrequently but possess higher significance.

Weighted ARM has demonstrated effectiveness in healthcare systems, recommendation systems, business analytics, and financial decision-making environments [18]. However, assigning weights introduces additional computational overhead and may increase algorithmic complexity.

Advantages of Weighted ARM

- Improved rule relevance
- Better knowledge extraction
- Useful for domain-specific applications

Limitations of Weighted ARM

- Increased computational complexity
- Additional weighting calculations required
- Performance depends on weight assignment methods

E. Hybrid Association Rule Mining Approaches

Recent studies have increasingly focused on **hybrid association rule mining techniques**, which combine the strengths of traditional ARM algorithms to overcome their individual limitations [14], [16].

Hybrid approaches commonly integrate **Apriori-based pruning strategies** with **FP-tree mining techniques**. In such approaches, infrequent items are filtered using Apriori principles before constructing optimized FP-tree structures. This process helps reduce candidate explosion, minimize memory usage, and improve mining speed [16].

Hybrid mining approaches have shown promising results in terms of execution time, computational efficiency, scalability, and memory optimization for large transactional datasets. These methods are increasingly viewed as practical alternatives for modern data-intensive applications.

Advantages of Hybrid ARM

- Reduced execution time
- Improved scalability
- Lower memory consumption
- Reduced redundant computations
- Better mining efficiency

Limitations of Hybrid ARM

- Increased implementation complexity
- Algorithm tuning required
- Adaptability issues for dynamic datasets

F. Comparative Discussion of ARM Techniques

The performance of association rule mining algorithms differs based on parameters such as execution speed, memory utilization, database scanning requirements, scalability, and candidate generation. No single algorithm completely addresses all mining challenges effectively.

Algorithm	Candidate Generation	Database Scans	Memory Usage	Scalability	Execution Speed
Apriori	High	Multiple	Moderate	Low	Slow
FP-Growth	None	Few	High	Good	Fast
ECLAT	Low	Few	Moderate	Moderate	Fast
Weighted ARM	Moderate	Multiple	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Hybrid ARM	Reduced	Few	Optimized	High	Faster

From the comparative analysis, it can be observed that traditional approaches such as Apriori and FP-Growth provide significant advantages but still experience limitations regarding scalability and computational efficiency. Emerging hybrid approaches demonstrate considerable potential in balancing execution speed, memory optimization, and mining accuracy, particularly for large transactional environments [16].



Therefore, future research efforts may focus on developing more adaptive and scalable association rule mining frameworks capable of efficiently handling increasingly complex and high-dimensional datasets.

4. RESEARCH CHALLENGES AND HYBRID ARM PERSPECTIVE

Association Rule Mining (ARM) has gained significant attention due to its capability to discover hidden relationships and meaningful patterns from large transactional datasets. Although several algorithms such as Apriori, FP-Growth, ECLAT, and weighted mining techniques have substantially improved frequent pattern discovery, numerous challenges still exist in practical data mining environments. The increasing volume, diversity, and complexity of transactional data have created new difficulties for efficient association rule generation, especially in large-scale and real-time applications [15]. Modern transactional databases generated through e-commerce platforms, healthcare systems, financial institutions, and IoT-based infrastructures contain millions of records and thousands of attributes. Processing such datasets efficiently requires mining algorithms capable of balancing computational efficiency, memory optimization, scalability, and rule quality [16]. However, many traditional ARM approaches continue to struggle in addressing these requirements simultaneously.

A. Research Challenges in Association Rule Mining

One of the major challenges in association rule mining is **excessive candidate itemset generation**, particularly in the Apriori algorithm. Apriori generates a large number of candidate itemsets during every iteration, which significantly increases computational complexity and execution time [7]. As dataset size increases, candidate generation becomes more expensive and negatively affects mining performance.

Another important issue is **repeated database scanning**. Traditional algorithms often require multiple passes over the transactional database to calculate support values and identify frequent itemsets. Repeated scanning increases input/output cost and slows down the mining process, especially for large transactional environments [8].

High memory consumption is also a significant challenge in ARM techniques. Although FP-Growth improves mining efficiency by eliminating candidate generation, the construction and storage of FP-trees may consume substantial memory for dense and complex datasets [8]. Similarly, vertical data formats used in ECLAT can lead to increased storage requirements when the number of transactions becomes very large [13].

The problem of **scalability** has become increasingly important with the emergence of big data technologies. Many traditional association rule mining algorithms struggle to process high-dimensional, sparse, and distributed datasets efficiently [16]. Real-world applications often involve millions of transactions and thousands of items, requiring more scalable and optimized mining techniques.

Another challenge is the presence of **redundant and uninteresting association rules**. Large transactional datasets often generate an excessive number of rules, many of which may be redundant or insignificant for decision-making purposes. This increases analysis complexity and makes knowledge extraction difficult [18].

Additionally, **dynamic and real-time datasets** create new challenges for ARM systems. Traditional algorithms are generally designed for static datasets and may not efficiently handle continuously changing transactional environments such as online shopping systems, streaming platforms, and IoT-based applications [19].

B. Research Gap

A detailed review of existing association rule mining approaches reveals several research gaps.

Traditional methods such as Apriori effectively reduce search space using candidate pruning mechanisms but suffer from repeated database scanning and computational overhead [7]. FP-Growth improves execution efficiency through compact tree structures; however, memory consumption and tree construction complexity remain major concerns [8].

Similarly, ECLAT demonstrates improved performance for dense datasets through vertical transaction representation, but scalability limitations still exist for extremely large transactional databases [9]. Although recent hybrid mining approaches attempt to combine the strengths of multiple techniques, many existing models still lack:

- Adaptive optimization mechanisms
- Efficient handling of sparse datasets
- Balanced memory and execution efficiency



- Dynamic transaction adaptability
- Effective redundant rule filtering

Furthermore, many existing studies focus primarily on improving either execution speed or memory utilization individually, while relatively limited attention has been given to balancing multiple performance parameters simultaneously [16]. These limitations indicate the need for more adaptive, scalable, and computationally efficient mining frameworks capable of handling increasingly complex transactional environments.

C. Emerging Hybrid Mining Perspectives

Recent advancements in association rule mining indicate a growing shift toward hybrid mining strategies, where the strengths of multiple algorithms are combined to overcome individual weaknesses [14], [16].

Hybrid approaches generally aim to:

- Reduce excessive candidate generation
- Minimize repeated database scanning
- Improve execution efficiency
- Reduce memory consumption
- Enhance mining scalability
- Improve rule quality

In many hybrid strategies, pruning techniques are combined with compact data structures to improve mining performance. Such approaches help reduce unnecessary computations and improve the efficiency of frequent pattern extraction, especially for large transactional datasets.

Emerging research also suggests the integration of intelligent optimization techniques, distributed computing environments, and machine learning methods for improving association rule mining efficiency in modern applications [19].

For example, future mining systems may incorporate:

- Intelligent threshold optimization
- Adaptive pruning mechanisms
- Cloud-based mining architectures
- Parallel and distributed mining models
- Real-time transactional pattern analysis

These developments may significantly improve mining performance and support large-scale knowledge discovery systems across diverse domains. Overall, the growing complexity of transactional datasets highlights the importance of efficient, scalable, and adaptive mining frameworks. Continued advancements in hybrid association rule mining are expected to play an increasingly important role in improving frequent pattern discovery and supporting intelligent decision-making systems in future data-intensive environments.

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

Association Rule Mining (ARM) has emerged as one of the most important techniques in data mining for discovering hidden relationships, correlations, and recurring patterns from large transactional datasets. Due to its capability of extracting meaningful knowledge from complex databases, ARM has gained widespread applications in domains such as market basket analysis, healthcare analytics, fraud detection, recommendation systems, business intelligence, education, and web usage mining. Over the years, several algorithms including Apriori, FP-Growth, ECLAT, weighted mining approaches, and hybrid techniques have been developed to improve mining efficiency and enhance frequent pattern discovery.

This paper presented a comprehensive review of major association rule mining techniques and critically analyzed their working principles, advantages, limitations, and practical applications. The study discussed traditional ARM methods such as Apriori, FP-Growth, and ECLAT along with weighted and hybrid mining approaches for improving rule generation and mining performance. A comparative analysis of these techniques was also carried out based on important performance parameters including execution time, database scanning requirements, memory consumption, candidate generation, and scalability.

The analysis revealed that although Apriori remains simple and effective for smaller datasets, it suffers from repeated database scanning and excessive candidate generation when applied to large



transactional environments. FP-Growth improves mining efficiency by eliminating candidate generation and reducing scanning overhead; however, memory consumption and tree complexity may become challenging for dense datasets. Similarly, ECLAT provides improved performance through vertical database representation but may face scalability limitations in high-dimensional environments.

The study further identified several important research challenges in association rule mining, including computational overhead, memory inefficiency, redundant rule generation, poor scalability, and difficulties associated with dynamic and continuously evolving datasets. These challenges indicate that existing mining approaches still require improvements to efficiently process increasingly large and complex transactional databases.

Recent research trends suggest that future developments in association rule mining may increasingly focus on adaptive and hybrid mining strategies capable of improving computational efficiency while reducing memory utilization and redundant computations. Hybrid mining perspectives that combine efficient pruning mechanisms with compact data structures have shown promising potential for improving frequent pattern discovery in large-scale environments. Additionally, advancements in artificial intelligence, machine learning, distributed computing, and cloud-based analytics may contribute significantly toward the development of more scalable and intelligent mining frameworks.

Future research may also emphasize real-time association rule mining, adaptive threshold optimization, privacy-preserving mining frameworks, and parallel processing approaches for handling large transactional systems more effectively. Moreover, intelligent mining systems capable of dynamically adapting to continuously changing datasets may further enhance knowledge discovery and decision-making capabilities across multiple application domains.

In conclusion, association rule mining continues to remain an important research area within data mining due to its ability to transform large transactional datasets into meaningful and actionable knowledge. Continuous advancements toward efficient, scalable, and adaptive mining frameworks are expected to significantly improve frequent pattern discovery and support intelligent analytical systems in future data-driven environments.

REFERENCES

1. Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques, J. Han, M. Kamber, and J. Pei, 3rd ed. Burlington, MA, USA: Morgan Kaufmann, 2012.
2. U. M. Fayyad, G. Piatetsky-Shapiro, and P. Smyth, "From data mining to knowledge discovery in databases," *AI Magazine*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 37–54, 1996.
3. R. Agrawal, T. Imielinski, and A. Swami, "Mining association rules between sets of items in large databases," in *Proc. ACM SIGMOD Int. Conf. Management of Data*, Washington, DC, USA, 1993, pp. 207–216.
4. C. C. Aggarwal and J. Han, *Frequent Pattern Mining*, New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2014.
5. *Data Mining Techniques: For Marketing, Sales, and Customer Relationship Management*, M. J. A. Berry and G. Linoff, 3rd ed. Indianapolis, IN, USA: Wiley Publishing, 2011.
6. *Introduction to Data Mining*, P. N. Tan, M. Steinbach, and V. Kumar. Boston, MA, USA: Pearson Education, 2006.
7. R. Agrawal and R. Srikant, "Fast algorithms for mining association rules," in *Proc. 20th Int. Conf. Very Large Data Bases (VLDB)*, Santiago, Chile, 1994, pp. 487–499.
8. J. Han, J. Pei, and Y. Yin, "Mining frequent patterns without candidate generation," in *Proc. ACM SIGMOD Int. Conf. Management of Data*, Dallas, TX, USA, 2000, pp. 1–12.
9. M. J. Zaki, "Scalable algorithms for association mining," *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 372–390, 2000.
10. J. Hipp, U. Güntzer, and G. Nakhaeizadeh, "Algorithms for association rule mining—A general survey and comparison," *ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 58–64, 2000.
11. M. Hahsler, "A probabilistic comparison of commonly used interest measures for association rules," *Intelligent Data Analysis*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 123–137, 2007.
12. B. Liu, W. Hsu, and Y. Ma, "Mining association rules with multiple minimum supports," in *Proc. ACM SIGKDD Int. Conf. Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, San Diego, CA, USA, 1999, pp. 337–341.
13. W. Wang, J. Yang, and P. Yu, "Efficient mining of weighted association rules," in *Proc. ACM SIGKDD Int. Conf. Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, Edmonton, Canada, 2000, pp. 270–274.



14. S. Verma and R. Patel, "Hybrid association rule mining approaches for large transactional databases," *International Journal of Data Mining and Knowledge Management Process*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 15–28, 2018.
15. N. Pasquier, Y. Bastide, R. Taouil, and L. Lakhal, "Efficient mining of association rules using closed itemset lattices," *Information Systems*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 25–46, 1999.
16. K. Sharma and P. Kumar, "An optimized hybrid model for association rule mining using Apriori and FP-Growth," *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 201–209, 2020.
17. J. Pei, J. Han, and H. Lu, "CLOSET: An efficient algorithm for mining frequent closed itemsets," in *Proc. ACM SIGMOD Workshop Research Issues in Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, Dallas, TX, USA, 2000, pp. 21–30.
18. T. Brijs, G. Swinnen, K. Vanhoof, and G. Wets, "Using association rules for product assortment decisions," in *Proc. ACM SIGKDD Int. Conf. Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, Boston, MA, USA, 1999, pp. 254–260.
19. P. Fournier-Viger, J. Lin, A. Gomariz, T. Gueniche, A. Soltani, C. Wu, and V. Tseng, "The SPMF open-source data mining library version 2," in *Proc. European Conf. Machine Learning and Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases*, Prague, Czech Republic, 2016, pp. 36–40.

CITE AN ARTICLE

Gupta S., Sikarwar R. S., (2024) A REVIEW OF ASSOCIATION RULE MINING TECHNIQUES TOWARD EFFICIENT FREQUENT PATTERN DISCOVERY. *Global Journal of Advanced Engineering and Technology Studies*, 11(1), 1-10
<https://doi.org/10.64149/gjaets.11.1.1-10>

